

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/804,199	03/19/2004	Ken Mashitani	65933-077	6534	
MCDERMOT	7590 02/19/2009 Г, WILL & EMERY	EXAM	EXAMINER		
600 13th Street, N.W.			POPHAM, JEFFREY D		
Washington, DC 20005-3096			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2437		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/19/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/804,199	MASHITANI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
JEFFREY D. POPHAM	2437	

	JEFFREY D. POPHAM	2437	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 04 February 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
 M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must limely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appendor for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(1		FINOT KEFLT WAS FI	LED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period to knuder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belowed) 	nsideration and/or search (see NOT		cause
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying t	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c		ected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1)			
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provened. 		I be entered and an e	xplanation of
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	t before or on the date of filing a No I sufficient reasons why the affidavi	otice of Appeal will <u>not</u> it or other evidence is	be entered necessary and
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but 	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		
/Emmanuel L. Moise/	/ Jeffrey D Ponham/		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2437

/Jeffrey D Popham/

Examiner, Art Unit 2437

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendments to claims 1 and 10 change the scope of the claims, such that the image data not includes viewpoints, as opposed to merely corresponding to viewpoints. The amendments to claims 4 and 11 appear to change the scope of the claims such that the multiple image data contained in the 3D stereoscopic image content is, itself, stereoscopic image data. Reference to other stereoscopic image, and and the like may also raise the issue of new matter, as a single viewpoint (single gad tail) is not, by itself, a stereoscopic image. Particularly, with respect to the arguments provided on page 12 of the response, it does not appear as though there is basis in the application as originally filled for providing multiple viewpoints in front of multiple other viewpoints. This appears to relate to providing multiple displays as opposed to masking or adding image data to other image data (such as adding a mask to a viewpoint). Such amendments to the claims would require further consideration and/or search